with programs devised by Dr D. W. Smits. For the calculation of the bond lengths and angles, and the best plane through the  $ICl_{4}^{-}$  ion, a program devised by Dr D. Rogers was used. We wish to thank Mr H. Schurer for operating ZEBRA.

## References

- BERGHUIS, J., HAANAPPEL, IJ. M., POTTERS, M., LOOP-STRA, B. O., MACGILLAVRY, C. H. & VEENENDAAL, A.L. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 478.
- BROOMHEAD, J. M. (1951). Acta Cryst. 4, 92.
- COCHRAN, W. (1951). Acta Cryst. 4, 408.
- CORNWELL, C. D. & YAMASAKI, R. S. (1957). J. Chem. Phys. 27, 1060.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1949). Acta Cryst. 2, 65.
- DAUBEN, C. H. & TEMPLETON, D. H. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 841.

- HAVINGA, E. E. & WIEBENGA, E. H. (1959). Rec. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 78, 724.
- HAMILTON, W. C. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 199.
- KARTHA, G. (1952). Acta Cryst. 5, 549.
- MOONEY, R. C. L. (1937a). Z. Kristallogr. 98, 377.
- MOONEY, R. C. L. (1937b). Z. Kristallogr. 98, 324.
- MOONEY, R. C. L. (1939). Z. Kristallogr. 100, 519.
- PAULING, L. (1960). The Nature of the Chemical Bond, 3rd ed. Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Press.
- PHILLIPS, D. C. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 819.
- Rømming, C. (1958). Acta Chem. Scand. 12, 668.
- RUNDLE, R. E. (1961). Acta Cryst. 14, 585.
- THOMAS, L. H. & UMEDA, K. (1957). J. Chem. Phys. 26, 293.
- TOMIIE, Y. & STAM, C. H. (1958). Acta Cryst. 11, 126.
- TROMMEL, J. & BIJVOET, J. M. (1954). Acta Cryst. 7, 703.
- WIEBENGA, E. H., HAVINGA, E. E. & BOSWIJK, K. H. (1961). Advances in Inorganic Chemistry and Radiochemistry, Vol. III, p. 133. New York: Academic Press.

Acta Cryst. (1963). 16, 247

# The Crystal Structure of Decammine- $\mu$ -peroxodicobalt Pentanitrate

By Nils-Gösta Vannerberg and Cyrill Brosset

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Chalmers University of Technology, Göteborg, Sweden

(Received 2 April 1962)

The compound  $[(NH_3)_5CoO_2Co(NH_3)_5](NO_3)_5$  has been prepared and its structure determined. The space group is  $P4_2nm$  with a=b=11.94 and c=8.06 Å. The two cobalt atoms are linked together by a peroxide group. The bonding is similar to that in the olefin complex.

### Introduction

Dinuclear cobaltic  $\mu$ -peroxo coordination compounds were for the first time prepared by Fremy (1852). He prepared salts of the ion  $[(NH_3)_5Co-O_2-Co(NH_3)_5]^{4+}$ and was also able to perform a complete and correct analysis of these compounds, which contain both the cobalt atoms in valency state +III and are coloured dark red. From these salts another series of salts can be prepared, which are characterized by their intense dark-green colour. The first salt in this series was prepared by Maquenne (1883). Later Vortman (1885) managed to prepare several compounds of this type. Maquenne and Vortman believed these compounds to contain an ion

 $[(NH_3)_5Co(III).O.OH.Co(III)(NH_3)_5]^{5+}$ .

Werner & Mylius (1898) proved, however, that there were no hydrogen atoms bonded to the bridging peroxide groups. In addition to compounds with one bridge, Werner (1910) prepared dinuclear cobaltic complexes containing two or three bridges. The bridging groups could be  $OH^-$ ,  $NH_2^-$  or  $O_2^{2-}$ . All these complexes were either red and diamagnetic or green and paramagnetic (Gleu & Rehm, 1938). In the red compounds the valency of the cobalt atoms is obviously +III; the cobalt valency in the green paramagnetic com-

# Table 1. Some dinuclear cobalt complexes Red diamagnetic compounds

# $\begin{bmatrix} (\mathrm{NH}_3)_5\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{O}_2-\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{NH}_3)_5]X_4\\ \left[ (\mathrm{NH}_3)_4\mathrm{Co} \underbrace{\mathrm{OH}}_{\mathrm{OH}} \underbrace{\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{NH}_3)_4}_{\mathrm{OH}} \right]X_4\\ \left[ (\mathrm{NH}_3)_4\mathrm{Co} \underbrace{\mathrm{OH}}_{\mathrm{OH}} \underbrace{\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{NH}_3)_4}_{\mathrm{OH}} \right]X_3$

Green paramagnetic compounds

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\mathrm{NH}_3)_5\mathrm{Co} - \mathrm{O}_2 - \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{NH}_3)_5]X_5 \\ \left[ (\mathrm{NH}_3)_4\mathrm{Co} & \begin{array}{c} \mathrm{NH}_2 \\ \mathrm{O}_2 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{NH}_3)_4 \end{bmatrix} X_4 \\ \\ \begin{bmatrix} (\mathrm{NH}_3)_3\mathrm{Co} & \begin{array}{c} \mathrm{OH}_2 \\ \mathrm{OH}_2 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{NH}_3)_3 \end{bmatrix} X_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

pounds is, however, not obvious. Both types are listed in Table 1. Werner (1910) proposed that the valency of the cobalt atoms in the green compound should be + III for one of them and + IV for the other. As the valency state + IV is very uncommon for cobalt, several authors, Gleu & Rehm (1938), Malatesta (1942), Thompson & Wilmarth (1952), Dunitz & Orgel (1953), Khakham and Reĭbel (1955), Jacob & Ogorzalek (1956), proposed that the bridging group should be a superoxide group  $O_2^-$  and not a peroxide group  $O_2^{2-}$ . Then the cobalt valence would be + III for both atoms.

A paramagnetic-resonance study performed by Bernal, Ebsworth & Weil (1959) and Ebsworth & Weil (1959) showed that there are equal charges on both cobalt atoms, which could support the assumption that the atoms are linked by a superoxide link.

For all discussions mentioned so far the oxygen atoms were thought to lie on the cobalt-cobalt axis; *i.e.* the symmetry of the complex ion should be  $D_{4h}$ (Dunitz & Orgel (1953)). However, the bonding in  $[(NH_3)_5Co\mu(O_2)Co(NH_3)_5]^{5+}$  is certainly of the same kind as in  $[(NH_3)_4Co\mu(O_2, NH_2)Co(NH_3)_4]^4+$ . Vlček (1960) pointed out that in the latter ion it is very difficult to place the peroxide (or superoxide) group parallel to the cobalt axis. Either there will be a very close approach of the oxygen atoms to the ammonia molecules or the distance between the cobalt atoms and the bridging amide group will be more than 3 Å. which would mean a very loose bonding, contrary to experimental results. However, if the peroxide (or superoxide) group is placed perpendicular to the cobalt axis, this obstacle is overcome.

Vlček (1960) could also show that this arrangement fits the molecular-orbital theory, with one unpaired electron distributed over the whole group  $[Co-O_2-Co]^{5+}$ but mainly localized on the cobalt atoms.

# Experimental

Decammine- $\mu$ -peroxodicobalt pentanitrate was prepared according to the method given by Werner & Mylius (1898).

# The structure of [(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>CoO<sub>2</sub>Co(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>](NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>

As mentioned above, single crystals of

$$[(NH_3)_5CoO_2Co(NH_3)_5](NO_3)_5$$

have a needle shape, the length being parallel to the crystallographic c-axis. Well developed single crystals were rotated about the needle axis and a direction perpendicular to it. All zones detectable with Fe  $K\alpha$ -radiation were registered using the multiple-film Weissenberg technique. Corrections for Lorentz effects and polarization but not for absorption were made.

# Determination of the structure

The elementary cell was found to be tetragonal with possible space groups  $P4_2/mnm$  No 136, P4n2 No 118 and  $P4_2nm$  No 102. The last was proved to be the correct one. The elementary cell dimensions were

$$a = 11.94 \pm 0.02, c = 8.06 \pm 0.01 \text{ Å}$$
.

The measured density was 1.840 g.cm<sup>-3</sup> and the

calculated one for two formula units in the elementary cell  $1.821 \text{ g.cm}^{-3}$ .

From a Patterson projection along the c-axis the positions of the cobaltic ions could be calculated. The cobalt contribution to the structure factors of reflexions of the type h+2k=4n+2 is small, and so less than half of the signs of reflexions of the type hk0could be determined. However, from the Patterson syntheses approximate positions of the ammonia molecules could also be found. From these and the cobalt-ion positions a set of signs for the hk0 structure factors was calculated and a Fourier synthesis was computed. This revealed the coordination about the cobalt ions, but the positions of the nitrate groups were very obscure.

There are ten nitrate groups in the elementary cell. Packing considerations show that of these groups eight can be placed in the general positions d and two in the special positions a. Alternatively four groups can be placed in the special positions b, another four in c and two as previously in a. However, it is impossible to place a nitrate group in a fixed position about the position b without violating the symmetry restrictions. Therefore the first alternative was tried but it had to be abandoned after fruitless attempts to get agreement between the observed and calculated structure factors.

Table 2. Parameter values for  $[(\rm NH_3)_5\rm Co-O_2-\rm Co(\rm NH_3)_5](\rm NO_3)_5$ 

Space	group	$P4_2nm$
-------	-------	----------

$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{t}\mathbf{o}\mathbf{m}$	$\mathbf{Position}$	Number	x	y	z
Co	c	4	0.134	0.134	0.000
$NH_3$	d	8	0.213	0.054	0.181
$NH_3$	d	8	0.054	0.213	0.814
$NH_3$	C	4	0.250	0.250	0.015
0	c	4	0.457	0.457	0.512
N	a	2	0.500	0.500	0.988
N	c	4	0.265	0.265	0.550
$\mathbf{N}$	b	4	0.500	0.000	0.000
0	a	2	0.500	0.500	0.138
0	с	4	0.438	0.438	0.913
0	c	4	0.192	0.192	0.500
0	с	4	0.299	0.299	0.407
0	с	4	0.295	0.295	0.680
0	ь	4			
0	b	4 }	rotating,	r = 1.2 Å	
0	ь	4 )			

It was thus indicated that all the nitrate groups occupy positions corresponding to the second alternative. The nitrate groups in b must then either rotate freely or else lie disordered about the given positions. With these assumptions the sign of all structure factors of type hk0 could be determined and reasonably accurate atomic positions could be calculated from the corresponding Fourier syntheses. The agreement between observed and calculated structure factors was much improved. The structure was refined with the aid of difference synthesis until the *R*-factor became 0·19. The structure-factor contribution of the disordered nitrate group was calculated on the assump-

248

# NILS-GÖSTA VANNERBERG AND CYRILL BROSSET

# Table 3. Observed and calculated structure factors for $[(NH_3)_5CoO_2Co(NH_3)_5](NO_3)_5$

1. 7. 7	F	1 127 1			1.1.1	F	I FP	1 4	hh-1	F	$\mathbf{F}$	~
пкі	r <sub>o</sub>	r <sub>c</sub>	$\alpha$	i	пкі	L' 0	1 c	) a	11.66	10	1º C	a
200		$3 \cdot 1$	180		811	l 11·5	8∙6	333	832	<b>4</b> ·0	5.3	162
100	29.0	32.5	0		911	13.1	17.6	182	932		7.4	170
400	200	020	ŏ		1011	4.0	6.A	201	10 3 2	3.6	3.3	350
000		2.9	0		10,1,1	1 <del>1</del> 0	· 0.4	201	10,0,2	90	10	505
800	$8 \cdot 2$	$2 \cdot 0$	0		11,1,1		- 5.1	4	11,3,2	3.0	1.8	54
10,0,0		$2 \cdot 3$	180		12,1,1	ໄ 3∙8	i 4·4	348	442	35.9	34.7	11
12.0.0	7.9	7.9	180		221	42.2	42.0	173	542	$6 \cdot 2$	$5 \cdot 1$	253
110	20.0	16.9	0		321	8.4	. 4.5	157	642	7.4	6.1	186
110	40 7	40.2	100		401	0.5	9.5	161	749	• •	4.1	100
210	42.1	38.1	180		421	9.0	0.0	101	142		4.1	100
310	$32 \cdot 9$	36.3	180		521		• 4•1	359	842	4.9	3.1	123
410	$7 \cdot 3$	10.2	180		621	1 27.2	29.3	7	942	$3 \cdot 2$	1.7	176
510	18.5	8.9	0		721		· 4·8	16	10.4.2	$3 \cdot 2$	4.1	359
610	99.5	17.9	ò		821	8.9	7.4	186	552	3.8	8.8	7
510	23.3	17.2	100		021		, , <del>,</del>	100	659	6.0	1.0	910
/10	9.7	5.7	180		921		. 1.0		052	0.0	1.0	210
810	12.8	11.2	180		10,2,1	l 8∙6	8.8	177	752	$3 \cdot 5$	5.6	180
910		$2 \cdot 3$	0		11,2,1	l —	· 4·0	13				
1010	5.5	2.9	180		331		· 4·6	83	103	$3 \cdot 1$	13.1	39
1110	7.4	0.2	180		43	. 99.6	19.8	345	303	5.5	10.4	106
11,1,0	1.4	9.2	100				17.7	040	502	95.9	97.4	106
12,1,0	3.8	3.0	0		031	14.4	: 17.7	0	503	20.0	27.4	190
220	68.4	68.2	0		631	. —	· 3·1	34	703	26.9	25.3	355
320	20.5	14.7	180		731	l —	- 2.8	20	903		$2 \cdot 8$	319
420	—	7.1	0		831	13.1	15.4	189	11.0.3	4.9	$3 \cdot 8$	208
520	91.4	96.1	ŏ		931	7.4	1.3	333	113	17.0	16.1	201
020	21.4	20.4	0		10.01			200	012	99.9		102
620	19.4	11.4	0	1	10,3,1	0.0	. 3.0	349	210	22.2	22.2	120
720	—	$7 \cdot 4$	0		11,3,1	. —	- 2.7	202	313		1.8	92
820		<b>4</b> ·7	0		44]	l 8∙0	24.0	16	413	19.8	20.8	182
920	14.6	10.6	180		54]	13.8	13.0	358	513	21.6	21.0	11
10 2 0		6.9		Í	641	15.1	15.6	178	613	5.3	5.9	313
10,2,0		0.0	100		541	91.4	. 100 95.0	107	719	7.0	11.0	945
11,2,0		1.2	180		741	31.4	20.0	10/	/13	1.9	11.0	340
330	31.5	35.4	0		841	4∙8	5 11.5	8	813	9.1	8.7	344
430	49.5	43.7	0		941	l 6·4	. 5.0	181	913	$6 \cdot 6$	$7 \cdot 1$	159
530	10.3	2.7	180		10.4.1		- 3.0	333	10,1,3	<b>4</b> ·0	5.8	201
620	19.0	10.6	<u> </u>		114	5.3	2.4	328	223	21.6	20.0	177
790	12.0	10.0	100		551	. 91.0		169	202	7.9	0.3	228
730	12.2	5.0	180	ł	551		20.3	100	J20	1.0	117	000
830	$6 \cdot 6$	9.8	180		651	11.3	11.0	189	423	3.0	11.1	53
930		0.3	180		751	[	- 2.8	172	523	$4 \cdot 2$	$4 \cdot 6$	31
10.3.0	10.4	10.4	180		85]	ι —	- 5.0	160	623	$25 \cdot 6$	$24 \cdot 1$	1
1130	8.4	3.5	0		951	9.5	11.3	352	723	3.3	6.5	221
11,5,0	54 Q	~1.0	0		601		6.0	164	693	2.7	3.9	164
440	54.2	51.3	0		00.	. —	. 0.0	104	020	3.1	0.4	104
540	$7 \cdot 1$	6.1	0						923	2.2	6.4	190
640	10.9	$8 \cdot 0$	180		002	2 99.3	8 95∙0	3	10,2,3	$5 \cdot 8$	4·1	188
740	6.5	$7 \cdot 2$	0		202	2 13-0	) 10.4	319	333	24.6	$23 \cdot 4$	162
840	14.7	8.6	180		409	2 19-1	15.0	138	433	21.0	19.9	359
040	111	0.0	100		401		2 0.1	160	592	0.0	14.0	94
940		6.2	U		004		9.1	109	000	9.0	14.0	24
10,4,0	5.6	4.2	0		802	2 10.1	20.0	21	033	3.2	2.4	
550	13.4	20.3	0		10,0,5	2 5.6	$5 - 5 \cdot 6$	173	733		3.0	53
650	15.8	12.7	180		115	2 17.7	16.7	351	833	11.2	$15 \cdot 1$	195
750	11.7	15.3	180		215	2 23.9	) 30.6	5 191	933	6.6	4.3	207
850	10.2	8.5	<u> </u>		319	2 13.1	18.8	173	1033		3.5	31
050	10.3	0.0	100		419	0 04.	04.7		449	10.4	9.5	170
950	5.4	2.2	180		412	2 24.	24.7	332	440	10.4	0.0	170
10,5,0	7.1	$3 \cdot 8$	0		512	z 7•4	E 13∙7	159	543	4.8	1.2	309
11,5,0	$4 \cdot 3$	1.5	0		615	2 25.5	5 20.8	350	643		$4 \cdot 0$	<b>74</b>
660	10.4	10.1	0		715	2 16.0	) 12.9	23	743	10.3	<b>4</b> ⋅8	190
760	8.3	5.0	180		819	2 6.9	2 4.8	223	843	4.2	3.0	190
860	00	4.5	100		019		1.0	399	043	9.8	1.0	917
800		4.0	0				- +.0	1022	10.4.9	20	10	946
960	9.8	8.0	0		10,1,2	Z 5.0	) 4·U	158	10,4,3	4.8	3.0	340
770	16.8	$22 \cdot 8$	0		11,1,5	2 3.5	5 5.8	172	553	4.2	$6 \cdot 2$	132
880	9.5	18.8	0		222	2  60.1	l 60∙6	i 5	653	6.6	$3 \cdot 0$	211
					323	2 18.0	13.7	139	753	4.5	1.0	35
101	62.2	60.6	19		49	2	2.9	330	853	_	3.1	61
101	00'0	#0 I	100		12		90 7	. JJJ 	000	7.1	9.A	้อ
301	50·2	50.1	180		32	∠ 29°. ∋	. 30.1	ن ~ –	900	1-1	3·0	4
501	$3 \cdot 4$	7.5	186		623	z	- 11.8	57	10,5,3		1.4	00
701	10.6	10.6	312		723	$2 - 3 \cdot 4$	<b>i</b> 9∙0	) 10	663	$7 \cdot 2$	$1 \cdot 0$	277
901	6.4	5.8	347		82	2 4.4	ŧ 7∙6	6 169	763		$4 \cdot 0$	44
11.01	11.6	16.5	188		92	2 10.6	3 8.7	171	863	4.9	3.3	19
111	11.0	19.0	110		10.9	- ^°`	. 9.0	20	779	3.5	0.7	39
111	11.9	14.9	101		10,2,	 o	 0	. 04 	070	0.A	0.5	044
211	15.3	20.4	181		11,2,3	4 —	- z•t	) ZZ5	8/3	Z•4	0.9	244
311	43.0	43.0	185		333	2 35.(	) 32.6	i 3	883	$2 \cdot 0$	1.2	4
411	12.7	$22 \cdot 2$	187		43	$2 2 \cdot 2$	2 4.5	5 238				
511	6.7	12.5	<b>22</b>		53	2 7.2	2 4.3	278	004	40.3	44.3	<b>344</b>
611		8.0			62	2 15	5 17.9	348	204	4.5	4.5	197
=11		10.0	2		79	- 10 c	) 10.4	210	404	95.6	19.6	175
111	9.9	13.4	v		1 13	<i>ω</i> Υ	, 12*9	- 0 <del>4</del> 0	. 404	20.0	19.0	110

							(						
hkl	$F_{o}$	$ F_c $	$\alpha$		hkl	$F_{o}$	$ F_c $	$\alpha$	I	hkl	$F_o$	$ F_c $	α
114	5.5	$12 \cdot 2$	329		515	6.0	$4 \cdot 0$	47		336	12.6	8.8	6
214	26.9	27.8	194		225	20.6	18.6	178	1	436	6.7	6.3	31
314	<b>13</b> ·0	15.6	179		325		$6 \cdot 3$	148	1	536	6.5	6.0	316
414	19.2	$15 \cdot 2$	<b>22</b>	1	<b>425</b>	$4 \cdot 3$	7.1	27		636	3.7	5.9	348
224	14.5	14.8	341		<b>525</b>	$5 \cdot 4$	7.8	21	I	736	7.6	5.6	181
324	10.5	10.5	177		335		5.7	22	1	<b>446</b>	14.0	19.4	6
424	-	$3 \cdot 9$	118		<b>435</b>	14.5	14.7	12		<b>546</b>		$2 \cdot 0$	190
524	16.3	19.0	355		535	10.4	17.0	<b>2</b>		646	$5 \cdot 3$	4.1	253
624	$4 \cdot 3$	$7 \cdot 9$	46		635	_	<b>3</b> ·0	126		556		$2 \cdot 5$	28
334	17.3	17.3	336		735	3.7	4.5	31		656	10.7	9.9	166
434	7.1	7.1	<b>248</b>		445	9.0	16.0	359					
534	10.0	6.8	49		545		$6 \cdot 2$	21		107	10.3	$7 \cdot 1$	22
634	11.9	13.3	5		745	15.2	11.8	175		307	10.0	5.4	218
734	12.8	13.6	<b>204</b>		555	9.3	9.8	160	1	117	$5 \cdot 2$	9.6	142
444	20.3	$25 \cdot 6$	5		655	$6 \cdot 2$	$5 \cdot 2$	186		217		3.0	344
544	<b>4</b> ·7	$4 \cdot 5$	216		755	<b>3</b> ·8	0.6	<b>54</b>	1	317	$8 \cdot 2$	<b>4</b> ·0	278
644	9.4	$7 \cdot 9$	219		655	13.1	9.3	177		417	$5 \cdot 8$	7.6	165
744	—	<b>3</b> ·0	<b>28</b>							227	8.8	7.9	172
554	—	$6 \cdot 8$	<b>274</b>		006	<b>44</b> ·8	<b>44</b> ·8	10		327		$3 \cdot 8$	110
654	11.0	7.4	181		206	5.5	9.6	254		427	_	3.7	321
754		8.0	167		406	_	<b>3</b> ·8	110		527	_	3.4	317
664	_	0.5	<b>34</b>		116	12.6	11.5	357	ĺ	337		<b>4</b> ·0	160
764	$5 \cdot 0$	$5 \cdot 4$	213		216	18· <b>3</b>	21.3	171		437	$6 \cdot 2$	$5 \cdot 3$	355
					316	8·3	12.0	188		537	5.5	$6 \cdot 3$	354
105	$24 \cdot 0$	$31 \cdot 1$	11		416		$3 \cdot 7$	309					
305	$7 \cdot 9$	$7 \cdot 3$	89		516	$3 \cdot 1$	$2 \cdot 2$	320		008	19.2	12.0	<b>62</b>
115	17.6	16.7	1		226	6.3	$8 \cdot 2$	55		208	4.1	$5 \cdot 2$	337
215	$4 \cdot 3$	7.6	105		326	<b>9·0</b>	8.7	185		118	$5 \cdot 9$	4.4	52
315	9.6	8.4	170		426	_	8.8	310		218	$5 \cdot 2$	5.8	202
415	8.5	5.9	156		526	8.5	7.7	14					

tion that the group rotates freely. From the projection only x and y parameters could be calculated and some of these were known only approximately, as the overlap was considerable. For the z-parameters and better x and y parameters a three-dimensional synthesis was carried out. The space group lacks a symmetry center. The z-parameter for the four cobalt ions could then arbitrarily be fixed to 0 and  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Further, the space-groups requirements and the hk0 projection solution give directly the distance between the two cobalt atoms, as well as between the cobalt atoms and two of the ligands. The N-O distance in a nitrate group is known to be 1.20 Å, and the packing distance between oxygen atoms was estimated to be 3 Å. With this knowledge approximate z parameters for all the atoms could be calculated. The real and complex parts of the structure factors and the phase angles were calculated, and the electron density could now be evaluated. This gave more accurate atomic parameters from which in its turn more accurate phase angles and electron densities could be calculated. The cycle was repeated twice. The final R factor for all reflexions was 0.20.

# **Description of the structure**

Bond lengths and bond angles of the structure arrived at are tabulated in Tables 4 and 5. The errors are calculated according to Cruickshank's method. The geometry of the cation

$$[(\rm NH_3)_5Co-O_2-Co(\rm NH_3)_5]^{5+}$$

can be seen in Fig. 1. Clearly five ammonia molecules

and the gravity center of the peroxide group form a somewhat distorted octahedron about the cobaltic ions. The ammonia configuration is very little distorted. The angle between two ammonia molecules situated above and below the plane of the peroxide group is, as could be expected, somewhat more than  $90^{\circ}$ . The angle between ammonia-cobalt bonds, both pointing up or both pointing down from the plane of the peroxide group, is then a little less than  $90^{\circ}$ . The rest of the bond angles between ammonia-cobalt bonds are little distorted. The bond distances between the cobaltic ions and the ammonia molecules are the expected ones.



Fig. 1. The geometrical configuration of the ion  $[(\rm NH_3)_5\rm CoO_2\rm Co(\rm NH_3)_5]^{5+}.$  Shaded, O; white, NH<sub>3</sub>; black, Co.

The distances between the cobalt and the peroxide oxygens are considerably longer than usual. The geometrical configuration is the one postulated by Vlček, *i.e.* the peroxide axis is perpendicular to the connection line between the cobaltic ions. The distance between

# Table 4. Interatomic distances in

$[(\mathrm{NH_3})_5\mathrm{CoO_2\mathrm{Co}}$	$(NH_3)_5](NO_3)_5$
CoCo	$4 \cdot 52 \pm 0 \cdot 02$ Å
$Co-NH_3(I)$	$2 \cdot 01 \pm 0 \cdot 04$
$Co-NH_3(II)$	$1.98 \pm 0.04$
Co-NH <sub>3</sub> (III)	$1.96 \pm 0.04$
Co-O(I)	$2 \cdot 38 \pm 0 \cdot 04$
O(I) - O(I)	$1.45 \pm 0.06$
N(I)-O(II)	$1.21 \pm 0.06$
N(I) - O(III)	$1.21 \pm 0.06$
N(II)-O(IV)	$1\cdot 29\pm 0\cdot 08$
N(II)-O(V)	$1\cdot 29\pm 0\cdot 08$
N(II)-O(VI)	$1.17 \pm 0.08$
N(III)-O(VI)	$1\cdot 2 \pm 0\cdot 1$
N(III)-O(VII)	$1.2 \pm 0.1$
N(III)-O(VIII)	$1 \cdot 2 + 0 \cdot 1$

# Table 5. Bond angles in

 $[(NH_3)_5CoO_2Co(NH_3)_5](NO_3)_5$ 

NH <sub>3</sub> (I)-Co-NH <sub>3</sub> (I')	$86\pm4$
$NH_3(II)-Co-NH_3(II')$	$84 \pm 4$
$NH_3(I)-Co-NH_3(II')$	$96 \pm 4$
NH <sub>3</sub> (I)-Co-NH <sub>3</sub> (III)	$88 \pm 4$
$NH_3(II)$ -Co- $NH_3(III)$	$92\pm4$
Co-O(I)-O(I')	$72\pm4$
Co–O–Co′	$144 \pm 4$
$O(I)-Co-NH_3(I)$	$76 \pm 4$
$O(I)-Co-NH_3(II)$	$80 \pm 4$

the oxygen atoms in the peroxide group is slightly but not significantly shorter than in other peroxides.

The bond lengths in the nitrate group do not deviate significantly from the values found in other nitrates. As mentioned, four of the ten nitrate ions are found to rotate. The rotation cannot be completely free as the distance between the nitrogen atoms is only 4.03 Å. Possibly the rotating nitrate ions do not form a sphere but a rotation ellipsoid. Such a rotation of nitrate groups in structures involving space-demanding cations is not uncommon.

#### **Discussion of the structure**

The structure suits the electronic description of Vlček very well. The two cobaltic ions and the bridging peroxide group are bonded together in a  $\pi$ -complex. The bonding *MO*-bonds are formed by the  $d_{x^2-y^2}$ ,  $p_y$  and *s* orbitals of the cobalt atoms and the  $p_y + p_y$  and  $p_z - p_z$  orbitals of the peroxide group. The single electron is situated in an antibonding orbital formed by the  $d_{yz}$  orbitals of the cobalt atoms and the  $p_z + p_z$  orbital of the peroxide group. The single electron is mainly localized on the cobalt atoms. The bond order of the cobalt-peroxide bond is accordingly  $\frac{3}{4}$ . The corressponding bond distance is also greater than that of a normal bond.

### The infrared spectrum

The infrared spectrum of  $[(NH_3)_5COO_2CO(NH_3)_5](NO_3)_5$  has a strong rather broad peak at 3200 cm.<sup>-1</sup>, a medium strong one at 1630 cm.<sup>-1</sup>, a very strong and very

broad peak about 1350 cm.<sup>-1</sup> and a broad double peak with maxima at 820 and 840 cm.<sup>-1</sup>.

According to Cotton (1960) and Lawson (1961), the N–H stretching mode generally gives a strong band about 3400 cm.<sup>-1</sup>. The formation of an ammonia– cobalt bond can be expected to shift the band towards lower frequencies. A possible hydrogen bonding should give the same result. An octahedral ammonia cobalt complex gives bands in the neighbourhood of 820 cm.<sup>-1</sup>, 1310 cm.<sup>-1</sup> and 1600 cm.<sup>-1</sup>. The first is probably due to a rocking mode, the second to a symmetric deformation and the last to an asymmetric deformation mode, all of the ammonia molecules. Nitrate ions generally give a very strong band in the neighbourhood of 1365 cm.<sup>-1</sup> and a strong and sharp band about 830 cm.<sup>-1</sup>.

Accordingly the spectra can be interpreted in the following way: The first peak at  $3200 \text{ cm.}^{-1}$  is due to the N-H stretching mode, the second at 1630 to a mode of the ammonia molecules in the complex ion, the peak at 1350 to overlap between bands caused by vibrations of the nitrate ion and the ammonia molecules. The peaks at 820 and 840 cm.<sup>-1</sup> can be attributed to a N-O mode and to a mode of ammonia.

This investigation was financially supported by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council.

## References

- BERNAL, I., EBSWORTH, E. A. V. & WEIL, J. A. (1959). Proc. Chem. Soc. p. 57.
- BELOVA, V. & SYRKIN, YA. K. (1955). Izvest. Sektora Platiny y Drug. Blagord. Metal., Inst. Obshchei Neorg. Khim., Akad. Nauk S.S.S.R. 30, 109, C.A. 50, 9803g (1956).
- COTTON, F. A. (1960). The Infrared Spectra of Transitional Metal Complexes in LEWIS, J. & WILKINS, R. C. Modern Coordination Chemistry. New York: Interscience.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1949). Acta Cryst. 2, 65.
- DUNITZ, J. D. & ORGEL, L. E. (1953). J. Chem. Soc., p. 2594.
- EBSWORTH, E. A. V. & WEIL, J. A. (1959). J. Phys. Chem. 63, 1890.
- GLEU, K. & REHM, K. (1938). Z. anorg. Chem. 237, 79.
- JAKOB, V. & OGORZALEK, M. (1956). Roczn. Chem. 30, 1055.
- KHANKHAM, I. B. & REĬBEL, I. M. (1956). Trud. Kishinev. Sel'skokhoz. Inst. 11, 145, C.A. 53, 12082i (1959).
- LAWSON, K. E. (1961). Infrared Absorption of Inorganic Substances. New York: Reinhold.
- MALATESTA, L. (1942). Gazz. chim. ital. 72, 287.
- MAQUENNE, L. (1883). C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 96, 344.
- SCHREWELIUS, N. (1943). Thesis, Stockholm.
- THOMPSON, L. R. & WILMARTH, W. K. (1952). J. Phys. Chem. 56, 5.
- WERNER, A. (1910). Liebigs Ann. 375, 1.
- WERNER, A. & MYLIUS, A. (1898). Z. anorg. Chem. 16, 252.
- VLČEK, A. A. (1960). Trans. Faraday Soc. 56, 1137.
- VORTMAN, G. (1885). Mh. Chem. 6, 429.